On the Formal Genesis of the Symbolic Universe: A Structural Analysis of $\square$, $\triangle$, $\circ$, and $\ldots$

Anonymous

Abstract

This paper proposes a minimal symbolic universe generated by four primitive symbols: the square ($\square$), triangle ($\triangle$), circle ($\circ$), and ellipsis ($\ldots$). We interpret these not merely as geometric marks, but as abstract operators governing stability, transformation, totality, and openness. By introducing formal definitions, lemmas, and propositions, we demonstrate that these symbols form a complete yet non-closed system capable of modeling structure, process, recursion, and indeterminacy.

1 Introduction

Symbolic systems precede formal language and often survive its dissolution. Certain forms recur across cultures, disciplines, and epochs, suggesting that they encode pre-linguistic invariants of cognition and ontology. This paper investigates four such forms: $\square$, $\triangle$, $\circ$, and $\ldots$.

Rather than treating these as representational icons, we treat them as *generative primitives*. Our aim is to show that a symbolic universe constructed from these four elements is internally coherent, expressive, and necessarily incomplete.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 1 (Symbolic Universe)**.** *A symbolic universe $\mathcal{U}$ is a tuple*

$$\mathcal{U} = (S, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{I})$$

where S is a finite set of primitive symbols, $\mathcal{R}$ a set of relations, and $\mathcal{I}$ an interpretative mapping.

In this work,

$$S = \{\square, \triangle, \circ, \ldots\}.$$

Definition 2 (Primitive Interpretation)**.** *We associate provisional semantic roles:*

- $\square$*: stability, boundary, persistence*
- $\triangle$*: transformation, direction, synthesis*

- $\circ$: *totality, recursion, closure*
- $\ldots$: *openness, incompleteness, continuation*

These interpretations are not axioms but emergent consequences of the formal relations developed below.

3 The Square: Stability and Boundary

Definition 3 (Containment Operator)**.** *The square $\square$ is defined as a containment operator acting on a domain D such that*

$$\square(D) = \{x \in D \mid x \text{ is preserved}\}.$$

Lemma 1 (Idempotence of the Square)**.** *For any domain D,*

$$\square(\square(D)) = \square(D).$$

Proof. Applying $\square$ enforces preservation. Reapplying it introduces no new constraints. Hence the operation stabilizes after one application. $\square$

Proposition 1 (Ontological Fixity)**.** *Any symbolic universe lacking $\square$ cannot sustain identity over iteration.*

Proof. Without a stabilizing operator, all elements are subject to unrestricted transformation, preventing persistence. Identity collapses into flux. $\square$

4 The Triangle: Transformation and Direction

Definition 4 (Transformative Operator)**.** *The triangle $\triangle$ is a directional operator acting on ordered triples (a, b, c), producing synthesis:*

$$\triangle(a, b, c) = c \quad \text{where } c \neq a \text{ and } c \neq b.$$

Lemma 2 (Minimal Tension)**.** *Two elements are insufficient to generate transformation without ambiguity. Three elements suffice.*

Proof. With two elements, any change is reversible or symmetric. The introduction of a third element breaks symmetry and introduces directed emergence. $\square$

Proposition 2 (Non-Idempotence of the Triangle)**.** *For any domain D,*

$$\triangle(\triangle(D)) \neq \triangle(D).$$

Proof. Transformation compounds. Each application introduces novelty not reducible to prior states. $\square$

5 The Circle: Totality and Recursion

Definition 5 (Closure Operator)**.** *The circle $\circ$ maps a process P to a closed recursive system:*

$$\circ(P) = P \cup \{P(P)\}.$$

Lemma 3 (Boundary-Free Completeness)**.** *The circle admits no privileged starting point.*

Proof. Any point on a circle can be mapped to any other by rotation. Thus no origin is distinguished. □

Proposition 3 (Recursion Principle)**.** *Every closed symbolic system tends toward circular representation.*

Proof. Closure requires self-reference. Self-reference geometrically manifests as recursion, which the circle uniquely encodes. □

6 The Ellipsis: Openness and Incompleteness

Definition 6 (Indeterminate Operator)**.** *The ellipsis $\ldots$ is defined as an operator with no terminal value:*

$$\ldots(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x),$$

where f_n is undefined for finite n.

Lemma 4 (Non-Closure)**.** *The ellipsis cannot be absorbed by any finite composition of $\square$, $\triangle$, or $\circ$.*

Proof. Each of the other operators yields a determinate result. The ellipsis explicitly resists determination. □

Proposition 4 (Gödelian Role)**.** *Any symbolic universe expressive enough to describe itself must contain an ellipsis-like element.*

Proof. Self-description generates undecidable statements. The ellipsis functions as a formal placeholder for such undecidability. □

7 Interactions Between Symbols

Lemma 5 (Stabilized Transformation)**.** $\square(\triangle(D))$ *yields structured novelty.*

Lemma 6 (Closed Transformation)**.** $\circ(\triangle(D))$ *yields cyclical process.*

Lemma 7 (Open Stability)**.** $\ldots(\square(D))$ *yields erosion of fixed meaning over time.*

8 The Symbolic Tetrad

Theorem 1 (Completeness Without Closure)**.** *The set* $\{\square, \triangle, \circ, \ldots\}$ *is sufficient to generate all symbolic processes while remaining formally incomplete.*

Proof. $\square$ provides persistence, $\triangle$ provides novelty, $\circ$ provides coherence, and $\ldots$ prevents total closure. Removing any element yields either chaos, stasis, triviality, or dogma. $\square$

Corollary 1 (Necessity of Mystery)**.** *Any symbolic system that eliminates* $\ldots$ *collapses into ideological finality.*

9 Conclusion

We have shown that four simple symbols form a robust symbolic universe capable of modeling stability, transformation, recursion, and indeterminacy. Their power lies not in representation but in relation.

The universe of symbols does not conclude. It gestures.

$$\square \quad \triangle \quad \circ \quad \ldots$$